

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In the Matter of EMANUEL JACOB YOUNG,  
ENOCH MAURICE KEANE YOUNG, TEIA  
ACLISE YOUNG, MICHAEL MANDELA  
YOUNG, YOSHAUM SHATIESE YOUNG, and  
SHANETTA LATRICE YOUNG, Minors.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

MARNICE YOUNG,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

GREGORY WILLIAMS,

Respondent.

---

UNPUBLISHED  
September 25, 1998

No. 206623  
Wayne Juvenile Court  
LC No. 94-315020

Before: Holbrook, Jr., P.J., and Wahls and Cavanagh, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from an order terminating her parental rights pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g), (h), (i), and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g), (h), (i) and (j). We affirm. This appeal is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

As to respondent-appellant, the trial court relied primarily on § 19b(3)(h):

The parent is imprisoned for such a period that the child will be deprived of a normal home for a period exceeding 2 years, and the parent has not provided for the

child's proper care and custody, and there is no reasonable expectation that the parent will be able to provide proper care and custody within a reasonable time considering the age of the child.

In order to terminate parental rights, the court must find that at least one of the statutory grounds for termination has been met by clear and convincing evidence. *In re McIntyre*, 192 Mich App 47, 50; 480 NW2d 293 (1991). Once a statutory ground for termination has been met by clear and convincing evidence, the court shall order termination of parental rights unless the parent provides some evidence from which the court could conclude that termination is clearly not in the best interests of the children. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). The trial court's decision regarding termination is reviewed in its entirety for clear error. *In re Hall-Smith, supra* at 472.

Here, the court took judicial notice of a Judgment of Sentence showing that respondent-appellant was sentenced on March 6, 1997, to a term of six to fifteen years' imprisonment for a jury conviction of manslaughter. MCL 750.321; MSA 28.553. On the basis of this documentary evidence, the trial court did not clearly err in finding that at least one of the statutory grounds for termination was met by clear and convincing evidence. Further, respondent-appellant did not provide evidence from which the court could conclude that termination of parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. See MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5). Therefore, the trial court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights. See *Hall-Smith, supra*.

Affirmed.

/s/ Donald E. Holbrook, Jr.

/s/ Myron H. Wahls

/s/ Mark J. Cavanagh