

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
COURT OF APPEALS

---

In the Matter of RENEE PALMER, Minor.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,  
  
Petitioner-Appellee,

UNPUBLISHED  
October 21, 2003

v

ELIZABETH PALMER,  
  
Respondent-Appellant.

No. 245709  
Kent Circuit Court  
Family Division  
LC No. 94-035503-NA

---

Before: Bandstra, P.J., and Hoekstra and Borrello, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right from a trial court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The trial court did not clearly err in finding that at least one statutory ground for termination had been proved by clear and convincing evidence. *In re IEM*, 233 Mich App 438, 450; 592 NW2d 751 (1999). Although respondent tried her best to parent her daughter, the child was seriously disabled and respondent, because of her own cognitive limitations, was unable to meet the child's many needs. Further, despite respondent's love for her daughter, the child's needs were so great that they exceeded respondent's limited ability. Given that plus the child's need for permanency, a need which respondent could not meet, the trial court did not clearly err in its determination of the best interests issue. *In re Trejo Minors*, 462 Mich 341, 354, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000); MCL 712A.19b(5). Therefore, the trial court did not clearly err in terminating respondent's parental rights. *Trejo, supra* at 356-357.

Affirmed.

/s/ Richard A. Bandstra  
/s/ Joel P. Hoekstra  
/s/ Stephen L. Borrello