

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of E.M.C.C., Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

LADAWN BANKS,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

ROSENDO CORONADO,

Respondent.

UNPUBLISHED

January 17, 2003

No. 242086

St. Clair Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 00-000173-NA

Before: Cooper, P.J., and Bandstra and Talbot, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the trial court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g), and (l). We affirm. This appeal is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The trial court did not clearly err in finding that § 19b(3)(l) was established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Sours*, 459 Mich 624, 633; 593 NW2d 520 (1999); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). It was undisputed that respondent-appellant had previously had her parental rights terminated to other children in St. Clair County. We need not address respondent-appellant's arguments with respect to the other statutory subsections because only one ground is required to support termination. MCL 712A.19b(3); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 364-365; 612 NW2d 407 (2000).

Furthermore, the evidence failed to show that termination of respondent-appellant's parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); *In re Trejo, supra* at 356-357. The trial court found that respondent-appellant was not bonded to her child. This conclusion was based on the fact that she did not attempt to see the child for over three months after the child was placed back into foster care. Although respondent-appellant presented

contrary evidence, the trial court's finding was not clearly erroneous. Thus, the trial court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the child.

We affirm.

/s/ Jessica R. Cooper
/s/ Richard A. Bandstra
/s/ Michael J. Talbot