

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In the Matter of DON'CHELLE DANIELLE-  
NICHOLE JONES, LAQUITTA KEWANNA  
ROGERS, and SHAKEAR MEGAIL DAVIS,  
Minors.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner - Appellee,

v

KIMBERLI NICHOLE JONES, a/k/a KIMBERLY  
NICHOLE JONES,

Respondent - Appellant,

and

MARCUS ANTOINE ROGERS,

Respondent,

and

VINCENT DAVIS,

Respondent,

and

JOHN DOE,

Respondent-Not Participating.

---

UNPUBLISHED  
August 25, 2000

No. 221346  
Wayne Circuit Court  
Family Division  
LC No. 97-356122

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

VINCENT DAVIS,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

KIMBERLI NICHOLE JONES, a/k/a KIMBERLY  
NICHOLE JONES,

Respondent,

and

MARCUS ANTOINE ROGERS,

Respondent.

No. 221403  
Wayne Circuit Court  
Family Division  
LC No. 97-356122

---

Before: Fitzgerald, P.J., and Holbrook, Jr. and McDonald, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

In docket number 221346, respondent-appellant Kimberli Nichole Jones, a/k/a Kimberly Nichole Jones, appeals as of right the order terminating her parental rights to her three minor children under MCL 712.19b(3)(c)(i), (g), and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g), and (j). In docket number 211403, respondent-appellant Vincent Davis appeals as of right the order terminating his parental rights to Shakear Megail Davis pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(g); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(g)<sup>1</sup>. We affirm.

The family court did not err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence, MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161

---

<sup>1</sup> Although petitioner sought termination of all of the respondents' parental rights on the basis of a number of statutory factors, it appears from a review of the transcript of the termination hearing that respondent Davis' parental rights were terminated pursuant to § 19b(3)(g) only. Assuming our interpretation is not what was intended by the court, the result is the same because the court need find only one statutory ground for termination of parental rights.

(1989), or in concluding that termination of appellants' parental rights was in the

children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598)(19b)(5); *In re Trejo Minors*, \_\_\_ Mich \_\_\_; \_\_\_ NW2d \_\_\_ (Docket No. 112528, decided 07/05/00), slip op at 17.

Affirmed.

/s/ E. Thomas Fitzgerald  
/s/ Donald E. Holbrook, Jr.  
/s/ Gary R. McDonald