

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In the Matter of ALICIA MONIQUE WILLIAMS  
and PHILLIP ANTHONY WILLIAMS, Minors.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner - Appellee,

v

SHARON DENISE SMITH,

Respondent - Appellant.

---

UNPUBLISHED

August 22, 2000

No. 222288

Wayne Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 97-361414

Before: Fitzgerald, P.J., and Holbrook, Jr. and McDonald, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right the family court order terminating her parental rights pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (b)(ii), (g), and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b) (3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (b)(ii), (g), and (j). We affirm.

We review for clear error both the court's decision that a ground for termination has been proven by clear and convincing evidence and, where appropriate, the court's decision regarding the child's best interest. *In re Trejo minors*, \_\_\_ Mich \_\_\_; \_\_\_ NW2d \_\_\_ (Docket No. 112528, issued 07/05/00). Only one statutory ground is required to terminate parental rights. *In re Sours minors*, 459 Mich 642, 641; 593 NW2d 520 (1999); *In re Terry and Hankston minors*, 240 Mich App 14, 21-22; 610 NW2d 563 (2000). Respondent only challenges the family court's finding that there was clear and convincing evidence that § 19b(3)(g) was established. We find that the family court did not clearly err in finding that § 19b(3)(g) was established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *Sours, supra* at 633. Moreover, since respondent does not argue to the contrary, we find that termination of her parental rights was also appropriate pursuant to §§ 19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (b)(ii), and (j). Respondent does not argue that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interest. Accordingly, we do not address this issue.

Affirmed.

/s/ E. Thomas Fitzgerald  
/s/ Donald E. Holbrook, Jr.  
/s/ Gary R. McDonald