

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In the Matter of ADAM K. WASILEWSKI, Minor.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

ANTHONY JAMES WASILEWSKI,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

DORENE JOYCE WASILEWSKI,

Respondent.

UNPUBLISHED

April 21, 2000

No. 218020

Wayne Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 96-344598

---

In the Matter of ADAM K. WASILEWSKI, Minor.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

ANTHONY JAMES WASILEWSKI,

Respondent,

and

No. 218178

Wayne Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 96-344598

---

\* Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

DORENE JOYCE WASILEWSKI,

Respondent-Appellant.

---

Before: Gribbs, P.J., and Doctoroff and T.L. Ludington\*, JJ.

PER CURIAM.

In these consolidated appeals, respondent James Wasilewski appeals as of right and respondent Dorene Wasilewski appeals by delayed leave granted from the family court order terminating their parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(g) and (j). We affirm.

In Docket No. 218020, respondent James Wasilewski claims that § 19b(3)(j) was not proven by clear and convincing evidence. However, because only one statutory ground is required to terminate parental rights, and because respondent does not challenge the applicability of § 19b(3)(g),<sup>1</sup> he is not entitled to appellate relief on this issue. *Roberts & Son Contracting, Inc v North Oakland Development Corp*, 163 Mich App 109, 113; 413 NW2d 744 (1987). See also *In re McIntyre*, 192 Mich App 47, 50; 480 NW2d 293 (1991). Respondent James Wasilewski also failed to show that termination of his parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondent James Wasilewski's parental rights to the child. *Id.*

In Docket No. 218178, the family court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974; *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Respondent Dorene Wasilewski does not argue that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests, MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997), nor is any error apparent from the record with respect to this issue. Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondent Dorene Wasilewski's parental rights to the child. *Id.*

Affirmed.

/s/ Roman S. Gribbs  
/s/ Martin M. Doctoroff  
/s/ Thomas L. Ludington

<sup>1</sup> We are satisfied from our review of the record that the family court did not clearly err in finding that § 19b(3)(g) was established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974; *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989).