

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of JEREMY DOMINIQUE TYRONE
PAULL, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

LISA CARLA ALI,

Respondent-Appellant.

UNPUBLISHED
October 22, 1999

No. 215433
Wayne Circuit Court
Family Division
LC No. 80-220675

Before: Griffin, P.J., and Zahra and S.L. Pavlich*, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right from the family court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm.

The family court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, respondent failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondent's parental rights to the child. *Id.*

We reject respondent's claim that the trial court acted prematurely in terminating her parental rights because appellee failed to make reasonable efforts to help her reunite with the child. The juvenile code requires only that appellee offer services that will facilitate reunification and any additional services the court may order. Appellee is not required to offer every conceivable service that may be available before termination may be ordered. See MCL 712A.18f; MSA 27.3178(598.18f); MCL 712A.19;

* Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

MSA 27.3178(598.19). Here, respondent does not specify what services were not provided by appellee as part of the treatment plan. Accordingly, we find no merit to this argument.

Affirmed.

/s/ Richard Allen Griffin

/s/ Brian K. Zahra

/s/ Scott L. Pavlich