

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In the Matter of SHARHONDA BRANTLEY,  
CARL BRANTLEY and EZEL JOSHUA HUNT,  
Minors

---

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

CARL BRANTLEY,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

ADRIENNE HOUSTON and MATTHEW  
WILLIAM HUNT,

Respondents.

---

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

ADRIENNE HOUSTON,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

CARL BRANTLEY and MATTHEW  
WILLIAM HUNT,

UNPUBLISHED

October 7, 1997

No. 189208

Wayne Juvenile Court

LC No. 90-283998

No. 190947

Wayne Probate Court

LC No. 90-283998

Respondents.

---

Before: Doctoroff, P.J., and Cavanagh and Saad, J.J.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent Brantley's parental rights to Sharhonda Brantley and Carl Brantley were terminated under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (h); MSA 27.3178 (598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g) and (h), while respondent Houston's parental rights to Sharhonda Brantley, Carl Brantley and Ezel Joshua Hunt were terminated under MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i) and (g); MSA 27.3178 (598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i) and (g). Respondents filed separate appeals of right, which were consolidated for our review. We affirm.

The juvenile court did not err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, respondents did not show that termination was not in the children's best interest. Thus, the juvenile court did not err in ruling that respondents' parental rights should be terminated. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5), *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997).

Affirmed.

/s/ Martin M. Doctoroff

/s/ Mark J. Cavanagh

/s/ Henry W. Saad