STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of HEIDI ANDREWS, AMANDA ANDREWS and JAMIE ANDREWS, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

PAMELA ANDREWS,

Respondent-Appellant.

Before: Gage, P.J., and Reilly and Hoekstra, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right from the probate court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(b)(ii), (c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(b)(ii), (c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm.

The probate court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, respondent failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. *In re Hall-Smith*, ____ Mich App ____; ___ NW2d ____ (Docket No. 195833, issued 3/25/97), slip op p 3. Thus, the probate court did not err in terminating respondent's parental rights to the children. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5).

Affirmed.

/s/ Hilda R. Gage /s/ Maureen P. Reilly /s/ Joel P. Hoekstra

No. 200099 Clinton Probate Court LC No. 96-004726-NA

UNPUBLISHED June 20, 1997