STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF APPEALS

PARK NURSING CENTER	UNPUBLISHED
Plaintiff-Appellant,	October 11. 1996
V DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES,	No. 173871 LC No. 93-303935 AA
Defendant-Appellee.	
Before: Murphy, P.J., and Reilly , and C.W. Simon, Jr.*	, JJ
MEMORANDUM.	
Plaintiff appeals as of right a circuit court order a to impose quality of care (QOC) penalties ¹ against pla QOC penalties were described by defendant in a serie never promulgated as rules under MCL 24.231-24 Administrative Procedures Act, we reverse.	intiff's Medicaid reimbursement. Because the s of policy bulletins and the provisions were
A full recitation of the facts and the procedural l	history of this case is unnecessary. The policy

In light of our conclusion, plaintiff's remaining challenges to the imposition of the penalties need not be discussed.

bulletins describing the QOC system set forth policies and procedures that directly affect the care that plaintiff is statutorily mandated to provide. Heritage Manor Inc v Department of Social Services, ____ Mich App ___; ___ NW2d ___ (Docket No. 186619, issued 9/6/96), citing AFSCME v Dep't of Mental Health, 452 Mich 1, 8-9; ___ NW2d ___ (1996). Accordingly, defendant was required to promulgate the provisions regarding the QOC system as a rule under the APA. As in *Heritage Manor*, defendant's failure to promulgate the provisions as a rule precludes defendant from enforcing the penalties. The trial court's opinion and order affirming the assessment of the penalties is reversed.

-1-

^{*} Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

Reversed.

/s/ William B. Murphy /s/ Maureen P. Reilly /s/ Charles W. Simon, Jr.

¹ The QOC system was eliminated from the state's Medicaid plan that became effective October 1, 1990. The penalties that are at issue in this case were based on investigation of conditions in 1988 and 1989.