STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF APPEALS

HERBERT G. COLLINS,

UNPUBLISHED September 24, 1996

Plaintiff-Appellant,

V

No. 168347 LC No. 93-30195-AW

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

Defendant-Appellee.

ALI SAREINI, a/k/a ALI SARENI,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

 \mathbf{v}

No. 173950 LC NO. 93-15593-AW

MICHIGAN PAROLE BOARD,

Defendant-Appellee.

Before: Michael J. Kelly, P.J., and O'Connell and K.W. Schmidt,* JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

In these consolidated appeals, plaintiffs, who are currently serving life sentences, sought writs of mandamus to compel defendants to conduct parole interviews with them. The respective trial courts granted defendants' motions for summary disposition. Plaintiffs now appeal by leave granted, and we affirm.

The amended version of the "lifer law," MCL 791.234(6); MSA 28.2304(6), does not violate the ex post facto clauses of the Michigan and United States Constitutions. *Riley v Michigan Parole*

^{*} Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

Bd, 216 Mich App 242; 548 NW2d 686 (1996). The statute does not affect plaintiffs' substantive rights, but merely alters the method to be followed in fixing a parole release date under identical substantive standards. See *California Dep't of Corrections v Morales*, 514 US ____; 115 S Ct 1597; 131 L Ed 2d 588 (1995).

Affirmed.

/s/ Michael J. Kelly

/s/ Peter D. O'Connell

/s/ Kenneth W. Schmidt