

Court of Appeals, State of Michigan

ORDER

Dunn Counsel PLC v Todd N Zappone

Docket No. 340790

LC No. 2016-155011-CB

Colleen A. O'Brien
Presiding Judge

Kathleen Jansen

Jonathan Tukul
Judges

The Court orders that the motion to reply to the answer to the motion to dismiss is GRANTED and the "Reply in Support of Appellee's Motion to Dismiss" is accepted for filing.

The Court further orders that the motion to dismiss pursuant to MCR 7.211(C)(2)(b) is DENIED. As appellee admits, a copy of the claim of appeal was filed with the trial court as required by MCR 7.204(E)(1), albeit two days late. Furthermore, as appellee acknowledges, a copy of the docketing statement required by MCR 7.204(F) was filed with the Court of Appeals on December 4, 2017, within 21 days of the notice of deficiency sent to appellants by the Clerk's Office. Therefore, the deficiencies have been cured and dismissal is not warranted. MCR 7.217(A).



A true copy entered and certified by Jerome W. Zimmer Jr., Chief Clerk, on

DEC 28 2017

Date


Chief Clerk