## Court of Appeals, State of Michigan

## ORDER

Anthony V Marrocco v Oakland Macomb Interceptor Drain Drainage

Michael J. Talbot Presiding Judge

Docket No.

327614

Christopher M. Murray

LC No.

2013-002615-CZ

Deborah A. Servitto Judges

The Court orders that the motion to reply to the answer to the motion for vexatious proceedings is GRANTED.

The motion for damages for pursuing vexatious proceedings is DENIED.

Talbot, J., would grant the motion seeking damages for pursuing a vexatious appeal, limited to expenses actually incurred in defending the appeal of the trial court's dismissal of Count II of the complaint. I believe the appeal of the trial court's dismissal of this count was "taken . . . without any reasonable basis for belief that there was a meritorious issue to be determined on appeal," MCR 7.216(C)(1)(a), and accordingly, "actual damages and expenses incurred by the opposing party" in defending the appeal of this count may be recovered, MCR 7.216(C)(2).

A true copy entered and certified by Jerome W. Zimmer Jr., Chief Clerk, on

AUG 0 3 2016

Date

Drome W. Zing.