Court of Appeals, State of Michigan

ORDER

Janice A Moses v James O'Neill

Jane M. Beckering Presiding Judge

Docket No.

328375

Kurtis T. Wilder

LC No.

13-640131-CZ

Mark T. Boonstra Judges

The Court orders that the motion for reconsideration is DENIED. Contrary to appellant's position, a final order under MCR 7.202(6)(a)(i) "is an order that disposes of all claims against all parties." *In re Estate of Kostin*, 278 Mich App 47, 50 n 2; 748 NW2d 583 (2008). Thus, the term "rights" as used in MCR 7.202(6)(a)(i) must refer to disposition of the rights of the parties as to the claims themselves which would not encompass a collateral matter such as quashing a subpoena to a nonparty. Further, the existence of MCR 7.202(6)(a)(iv), which defines a postjudgment order awarding or denying attorney fees and costs to be a distinct final order, belies appellant's position because it necessarily means that the existence of a remaining issue as to whether a party has a right to such an award does not prevent an earlier order disposing of the claims in a case from being a final order under MCR 7.202(6)(a)(i). Moreover, consent of the parties cannot confer jurisdiction on a court. *Clohset v No Name Corp*, 302 Mich App 550, 566 n 7; 840 NW2d 375 (2013). We also reject appellant's effort to distinguish this matter from the statement in *Faircloth v Family Independence Agency*, 232 Mich App 391, 400-401; 591 NW2d 314 (1998), that a trial court's certification of an order as final is not controlling because that statement was not tied to the specific facts of *Faircloth* but rather articulates a universal principle.

A true copy entered and certified by Jerome W. Zimmer Jr., Chief Clerk, on

NOV 1 3 2015

Date

Chief Clerk