

# Court of Appeals, State of Michigan

## ORDER

Yaskawa America Inc v Department of Treasury; Rainier Investment Management Inc v Department of Treasury; Rainier Investment Management Inc v Department of Treasury; Rainier Investment Management Inc; Rainier Investment Inc v Department of Treasury; Hansen Beverage Company v Department of Treasury; Coventry Health Care Inc v Department of Treasury; Yaskawa America Inc v Department of Treasury; Yaskawa America Inc v Department of Treasury; International Business Machines Corp v Department of Treasury; Paperweight Development Corp v Department of Treasury; Paperweight Development Corp v Department of Treasury; Dollar Tree Inc v Department of Treasury; Ball Corp v Department of Treasury; Commercial Metals Company v Department of Treasury; Commercial Metals Company v Department of Treasury; Dollar Tree Inc v Department of Treasury; BioRx LLC v Department of Treasury; United Stationers Supply Company v Department of Treasury; Rodale Inc v Department of Treasury; Circor Energy Products Inc v Department of Treasury; Crown Holdings Inc v Department of Treasury; Michelin Corp v Department of Treasury; Arby's Restaurant Group Inc v Department of Treasury; Interstate Gas Supply Inc v Department of Treasury; Interstate Gas Supply Inc v Department of Treasury; Sapa Extrusions Inc v Department of Treasury; Raven Industries Inc v Department of Treasury; Cargill Inc v Department of Treasury; Watts Regulator Company v Department of Treasury; Watts Regulator Company v Department of Treasury; Watts Regulator Company v Department of Treasury; Lord Corp v Department of Treasury; Teradyne Inc v Department of Treasury

Docket Nos. 325475; 325476; 325477; 325478; 325479; 325480; 325481; 325482; 325483; 325484; 325485; 325486; 325487; 325488; 325489; 325490; 325491; 325492; 325515; 325516; 325517; 325518; 325520; 325521; 325522; 325523; 325525; 325526; 325528; 325529; 325532; 325533; 325534; 325535

LC Nos. 11-000077-MT; 13-000015-MT; 13-000090-MT; 13-000110-MT; 12-000032-MT; 11-000080-MT; 11-000127-MT; 13-000052-MT; 12-000155-MT; 14-000219-MT; 12-000160-MT; 12-000075-MT; 14-000192-MT; 13-000123-MT; 12-000161-MT; 12-000087-MT; 14-000030-MT; 11-000128-MT; 12-000059-MT; 12-000101-MT; 13-000098-MT; 13-000106-MT; 14-000217-MT; 14-000146-MT; 14-000144-MT; 14-000070-MT; 14-000157-MT; 14-000037-MT; 12-000113-MT; 13-000021-MT; 13-000041-MT; 14-

---


Peter D. O'Connell  
Presiding Judge

Donald S. Owens

Stephen L. Borrello  
Judges

The Court orders that the motion for leave to file a reply to the answer to the motion to remand is GRANTED.


The motion to remand is DENIED for failure to persuade the Court of the necessity of a remand at this time. We note that this is without prejudice to the ability of appellants to ask the case call panel to take judicial notice of appropriate matters or to argue the merits of their position that the Court of Claims erred in not allowing them to pursue discovery to develop a factual record.



A true copy entered and certified by Jerome W. Zimmer Jr., Chief Clerk, on

MAR 27 2015

Date

  
Chief Clerk