## Court of Appeals, State of Michigan

## **ORDER**

Cindy Kay Maier v Daniel Clayton MaierPresiding JudgeDocket No.322109Kirsten Frank KellyLC No.11-023267-DMCynthia Diane Stephens<br/>Judges

The Court orders that the parties are to submit supplementary briefs on the effect of *Kubicki v* Sharpe, \_\_\_\_ Mich App \_\_\_; \_\_\_ NW2d \_\_\_ (2014) (Docket No. 317614), on these proceedings. Specifically:

- Does *Kubicki* require that judges interview every child in every contested custody case to ascertain:
  a) if the child has a preference.
  b) if so, that such preference is reasonable.
- 2.) If a trial judge does not interview a child but otherwise ascertains and considers the preference of the child based upon the record, is reversal required?
- 3.) What is the analytical context for review of a failure to interview the preference of a minor?

The supplemental briefs shall be filed within 21 days of the Clerk's certification of this order.



A true copy entered and certified by Jerome W. Zimmer Jr., Chief Clerk, on

esp, entered and estimed by serome w. Zhinner St., enter en

DEC 0 5 2014

Drow W. fr in h.

Amy Ronayne Krause

Date