AM

#### **STATE OF MICHIGAN**

#### IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff/Appellee,

Court of Appeals No: 298888

Lower Court No: 05-009189 FC

ORONDE KENYATTE THOMPSON,

Defendant/Appellant.

KYM L. WORTHY (P38875) Attorney for Plaintiff/Appellee Wayne County Prosecutor 1441 St. Antoine - 12th Floor Detroit, MI 48226 (313) 224-5789

GERALD FERRY (P44630) Attorney for Defendant/Appellant 20816 E. Eleven Mile St. Clair Shores, MI 48081 (586) 215-5317

## DEFENDANT/APPELLANT'S STANDARD 4 IN PRO PER BRIEF ON APPEAL

#### ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

By: Oronde K. Thompson Inmate No: 601455

Central Michigan Correctional Facility

320 N. Hubbard St. Louis, MI 48880

## STATE OF MICHIGAN IIN THE COURT OF APPRALS

PEOPHE OF THE STATE OFMICHIGAN Plaintiff- Appelle,

V
DEFENDANT APPELLANT
ORONDE K THOMPSON

"

COURT OF APPEALS NO: 29888
LOWER COURT NO: 05-009189fc

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

APPELLANTS BRIEF

ORONDE K THOMPSON# 601455 CENTRAL MICHIGAN CORRECTIONA 320 HUBBARD St. HOUIS MI 48880



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

INDEX OF AUTHORITIES

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

STATEMENT OF QUESTIONS INVOLVED

STATEMENT OF FACTS

#### ARGUMENT:

Where cocurrent convictions used to score PRV7 were vacated after sentencing defendant should be resentenced using prpoer PRV scores...

STANDARD OF PEVIEW

PRESERVATION OF ERROR

PRINCIPAL POINT OF ARGUMENT

Correct invalid sentence, charges used to score PRV7 later vacated..

## ISDES OF AUTHORITIES

## CASE NAMES AND GITATIONS:

People v Manman 504 MW2d 189, 200 Mich App 123.

Seople v Grier 393 \*\*\*2d 551 153 Mich App

People v Francisco 711 NW2d 44(Mich)2006).

The state of the s

#### STATUTES:

MCL 777.57(PRV7)

MCL 750.321c

MCL 750.2278A.

MGL 750.7346

MGL 750.224B

1. . . . . . . . 799

#### STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

The Court of Appeals has jurisdiction over this

claim of appeal pursuant to MCR 7.203 and 7.204. Defendant was convicted after a jury drial conducted on Febuary 12.17 &2010. before Judge Vonda R. Evans, 3rd Judicial Circuit Court. Defendant was sentenced on March 17,2016, and judgement of sentence - Commitment to Department of Corrections was entered on March 17, 2010.

Defendant, being indigent, filled a notice of right to appellate review and requested for appointment of attorney on April 19.2010.

Attorney Gerald Ferry(p44630) was appointed on June 29. 2010 pursuant to claim of appeal and order appointing counsel. Transcripts of lower court trial and sentence became available to cousel on or about October 12, 2010. Attorney Ferry submitted original breif December 10, 2010.

On Movember 19, 2010 an Amended Judgement of sentence was entered, vacating convictions of 750.224B and 750.224F

On Febuary 4, 2011 a certificate of discharge/ sentence Term-mination / SentenceDischarge By Court order for 750.224B and 750.224F was entered by prison records administration.

#### STATEMENT OF QUESTIONS INVOLVED

SHOULD DEFENDANT BE RESENTENCED DUE TO DISMISSAL OF CONVICTIONS USED TO SCORE PRV 7 after sentencing.

DEFENDANT/APPELLANT ANSWERS "YES"

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

DEFENDANT/APPELLANT, ORONDE K THOMPSON was previously convicted in lower Court Case No; 05-009189-01 of Murder First-degree "IMCL 750.316); Possession of short-barreled rifle (MCL 750.224b"; and Felony Firearm (MCL750.227b" by jury trial. In addition Defendant pled no contest to Felon in Possession Firearm (750.224f), Uponstipulation of the parties the Trial Court granted Defendant a new trial based on newly discovered evidence. This new trial was limited to the sole count of Murder First-degree.

On Febuary 3, 17, 2010 a jury trial was conducted in this matter before Judge VONDA R EVANS, Third Judicial Circuit Court. At the conclusion of the trial the jury found Defendant guilty of the lesser included offense of Involuntary Manslaughter MCL 750.321c).

On December 10 2010 attorney GERALD FERRY (P44630) submitted DEFENDANT /APPELLANTS BRIEF ON APPEAL.On 11/12/2010 a Amended JUDGMENT OF SENTENCE Evasentered the convictions and sentence for MURDERIST (750.315) VEAPONS FIREARM (750.2248) and FIREARM POSSESSION (750.2248) were VACATED. DEFENDANTS attorney G.FERRY was not made aware of the charges being vacated due to Defendant being unawalible

On August 27, 2005 at approximately 1:00 p.m, the

DETROIT POLICE recived a radio run to a single dwelling home

located at 18568 Revere Street, City of Detroit. It was reported

by 911 call placed by defendant that someone had been shot at

this address. Upon arrival the police observed Defendant walking

away from the home with two small children. The DEFENDANT return.

ed to the home and directed the officers out side of the home

to the attic. the defendant told the police officers "RIGHT

HERE, UPSTAIRS, CALL AN AMBULANCE". The police entered the for

futher investigation and discovered a female, KIARA, T BARTON

lying on the attic floor shot bellow the right eye. The victom

was still alive but unable to speak, EMS unit was dispatched

to the scene.

After Defendant directed officers to the location of the victom he was asked what happened by plan clothed officer Biaze what papered Defendant stateed :IT WAS AN ACCIDENT Homicides officers arrived on the scene and Defendant gave a written statement, at the scene and was arrested.

At the first trial Defendat testified that the shooting was accidential, stating that he was just joking around and belived the gun was inoperalbale due to previous attempts to fire the 32cal hand gun used .Defendant maintanied this possion at his second and third trials for this same matter.

At the conclusion of the Defendants third trial in this matter Defendants trial counsel JOHM J HOLLAR 43344 requested that the trial court instruct the jury as to the lesser included offense of RECKLESS DISCHARGE OF A FIREARM CAUSING INJURY OR DEATH TO A PERSON (MCL752.861). However, the trial court denied Defendants request and did not issue this instruction which resulted in Defendant being found guilty of INVOLUNTARY MAMSLAUGH TER(MCL750.3216)

Defendant was subsequently sentenced on March 17, 2010, to a minimum term of 5 years to a maximum term of 15 years incarceration.

Defendants sentence/was enhanced due to cocurrent convictions of weapons firearm (750.224B) and firearm possession (750.224F)

THE ABOVE CHARGES WERE DISMISSED AFTER SEMTENCING November 12,741
2010.

Defendant was given 20 points on PRV7 for the two concurrent convictions bringing his total prvs to 25 an DVS to 30which made Defendant AW D\_III on the Sentencing Grid for Class C Offens es - MCL 777.64.

#### ARGUMENT

WHERE CONVICTIONS OF 750.224F and 750224B WERE DISMISSED AFTER SENTENCING BUT USED TO SCORE PRV7 DEFENDANT SHOULD BE RESENTENCED ACCORDING TO PROPER PRV, S.

#### STANDARD OF REVIEW

DEFENDANTS SENTENCE WAS ENHANCED DUE TO TWO CONCURRENT CONVICTIONS OF 750.224f and 750.224b scored in prv7.

THE TWO CONVICTIONS WERE LATER DISMISSED AFTER SENTENCING AND AFTER BRIEF ON APPEAL WAS FILED BY ATTORNEY FERRY.

THIS ISSUE WOULD FALL UNDER NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE AND WOULD RENDER THE CURRENT SENTENCE INVALID.
PEOPLE V HANMAN 504 NW2d 189, PEOPLE V GRIER 393 NW2d 551

#### PRESERVATION OF ERROR

ON TRANSCRIPT PAGES 3,4 DEFENDANTS PRIOR RECORD VARIABLE #7 WAS RESCORED GIVING DEFENDANT 20 POINT FOR TWO CONCURRENT CONVICTIONS, THE SAME CONVICTIONS WHICH WERE DISMISSED SOON AFTER SENTENCING.

#### ANALYSIS

FROM PEOPLE V FRANCISCO 711 N.W.2d 44 MICH. 2006 Criminal Law key 1177 / Sentencing and Punishment key 34

Convicted person is entiled to serve a term of imprisonment

that is no longer than that which is lawful; it is not harmless error when a person is imprisoned. and deprived of his or her liberty, for "only" two or three more months than has been provided for by law.

BRYELT CINE OF THE BETOTAL BRYELT THE DEFENDANT A B 3 ON THE



DEFENDANTS PROPER TOTAL FOR Sentencing Grid for Class C Offenses- MCL 777.64 WOULD FALL IN THE RANGE OF A B\_III TOTAL PRVS BEING 5 TOTAL OVS BEING 30 with HO2 enhancement DEFENDANTS SENTENCE MIN WOULD BE 12-30 MONTHS.WITH THE MANDATORY 24 MONTH SENTENCE FOR 750.227B A DEFENDANTS MAX MIN WOULD BE 54 months as of AUGUST 27 2011 defendant will have servered 72 MONTHS WITH NO CONCIDERATION OF PAROLE.

#### RELIEF REQUESTED

BASED ON THE ANALYSIS OF ATTORNEY FERRYS BRIEF SUBMITTED 12-10-2010 DEFENDANT APPELLANT REQUEST THIS COURT TO REVERSE HIS CONVICTION FOR 750.321c and REMAND FOR ENTRY JUDGEMENT OF CONVICTION OF LOWER INCLUDED OFFENSE REQUESTED AT TRIAL OF 752.861 CARELESS RECKLESS OR NEGLIGENT USE OF FIRE ARM RESULTING IN A DEATH OR INJURY TO PERSON.

CRIMINAL LAW KEY 795(i! 293 NW2d 332

WHERE THERE IS A REQUEST TO CHARGE ON A LESSER OFFENSE WHICH IS NOT A NESESSARLY INCLUDED OFFERSE. IF EVIDENCE HAS BEEN PRESENTED WHICH WOULD SUPPORT CONVICTION OF A LESSER OFFENSE, REFUSAL TO GIVE THE REQUESTED INSTRUCTION IS ERROR.

THE RULE IN MICHIGAN HAS LONG BEEN:

'where a request has been made to gharge the jury on a lesser included offense, the duty of the the trial judge is to determined by the evidence. IF EVEDENCE HAS BEEN PRESENTED WHICH WOULD SUPPORT A CONVICTION OF A LESSER OFFENSE, REFUSAL TO GIVE REQUEST ED INSTRUCTION IS REVERSIBLE ERROR BUT, IN THE ABSENCE OF SUCH A REQUEST, THE TRIAL COURT DOES NOT ERR BY FAILING TO INSTRUCT ON THE INCLUDED OFFENSE.

IN PEOPLE V CUMMINGS 580 NW2d 480 "WHERE A TRIAL COURT IMPROPERLY FAILS TO INCLUDE AN INSTRUCTION REGARDING A LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSE, THE REMEDY IS TO REMAND FOR ENTRY OF CONVICTION OF THE LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSE AND FOR RESENTENCING, OR IF THE PROSECUTION DESIRES, FOR A RETRIAL ON THE CHARGE FOR WHICH THE DE FENDANT WAS CONVICTED.

BE RESENTENCED DUE TO THE ERROR IN SCORING THE PRVS ADDRESSED IN THIS SUPPLEMENTAL PRO PER BRIEF.

WE ALSO REQUEST IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION DUE TO DEFENDANT HAVING ALLREADY SERVERED MORE TIME THAN THAT WHICH IS LEGALY APPLICABLE FOR THE CURRENT CONCICTIONS ACCORDING TO SENTENCING GRID FOR CLASS C OFFENSES.

2011 SEP 19 AM 11:51

CSJ-130 REV. 05/99 4835-3130

٠,۽

# MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS CERTIFICATE OF DISCHARGE / SENTENCE TERMINATION / SENTENCE DISCHARGE BY COURT ORDER

Numbe <b>60145</b>	- Te	Name JHOMPSON	ORANDE	Institution STF	P-M	150			
In acc	ordanc	e with PD 03.01.135	Discharge or Te	rmination of Sen	tence, the following a	ction is taken:			
A. [	Disc	Discharge of prisoner from the Michigan Department of Corrections is hereby made effective							
		Death while under	sentence		·				
В	Terr	Termination of a prisoner's non-controlling sentence of,							
C. 🗵	Disc	Discharge by court order of prisoner's sentence of A03 1y - 5y, Poss Shrt Barld shtgn &							
	is he	ereby made effective	11/12/10	A04 1	y - 5y, Weapons Felor	<u>n</u>			
		Resentenced to a le	esser term of	1					
		Sentence reduced to Probation.							
		Sentence reduced to Time Served.							
	$\boxtimes$	·							
		Sentence Nolle Prosequi.							
D	Adm	Administrative discharge of escaped prisoner is hereby made effective							
E. 🛚	The prisoner shall continue to serve on the following sentences:								
	A01 A02	2Y - 2Y 5y - 15y		NY FIREARM UNTARY MANSL	-AUGHTER				
	:	Duna	Judge.		Prepared by: B. Waters	02/05 /2011			
Records Administrator									

1	MR. HOLLER: Right.			
2	MS. DAWSON: Yes, your Honor.			
3	MR. HOLLER: Then, so, I will I'll defer to			
4	her on if she wants to start on prior record variables?			
5	MS. DAWSON: Yes, your Honor.			
6	With regard to the prior record variables, I			
7	had indicated to Mr. Holler that I believe that prior			
8	record variable			
9	THE COURT: (Interposing) I don't have a copy.			
10	I'm sorry.			
11	Okay, very good. I'm listening.			
12	MS. DAWSON: Okay. Prior record variable			
13	number seven, your Honor, is misscored. The probation			
14	department has it as zero, and it should be twenty			
15	points.			
16	As this Court is well aware, the defendant was			
17	initially charged with murder, felon in possession of a			
18	firearm, possession of a short-barrel shotgun, felony			
19	firearm, and as an habitual second.			
20	The conviction he was convicted of he was			
21	convicted, initially, of the felon in possession of a			
22	firearm, the possession of the short-barrel shotgun, and			
23	felony firearm.			
24	/Then he was retried on the they hung on the			
25	mirder.			

1	He was retried found guilty on the murder,	an			
2	then we retried the murder, again.				
3	His felony felon in possession, and				
4	possession of a short-barrel shotgun, are concurrent				
5	convictions. So, he gets twenty points for those.				
6	THE COURT: Counsel?				
7	MR. HOLLER: Tagree!				
8	MS. DAWSON: So, he should have twenty-five $\iota_{\iota}$				
9	points for his prior record variable.				
10	THE COURT: Number one?				
11	MR. HOLLER: No. No, his				
12	MS. DAWSON: (Interposing) No. His total				
13	prior record variable is twenty-five.				
14	THE COURT: Okay. And it's twenty-five. Ok	ay.			
15	MS. DAWSON: And he is a level D as in dog.				
16	THE COURT: Right.				
17	And that would change and what about the				
18	OV's?				
19	MR. HOLLER: Okay. With respect to the wi	th			
20	respect to the OV's, let's take a quick look at those.				
21	Okay.				
22	With respect to the OV's, I think there are				
23	fifteen points scored for psychological damage. I thi	nk			
4	that's on OV-5, all right?				

And I've read the -- I've read the presentence



# Careless, Reckless, or Negligent Use of Firearm with Injury or Death Resulting

- (1) [The defendant is charged with the crime of / You may also consider the lesser charge of lesser negligent use of a firearm. To prove this charge, the prosecutor must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:
  - (2) First, that someone was [injured / killed].
- (3) Second, that the [injury / death] was caused by the discharge of a gun.

[Choose (4) or (5):]

- [(4) Third, that the gun was discharged by the defendant.]
- [(5) Third, that at the time of the discharge the gun was under the immediate control of the defendant and that the defendant caused or allowed the gun to be discharged.]
- (6) Fourth, that the discharge was the result of the defendant's carelessness, recklessness, or negligence.<sup>2</sup>
- [(7) Fifth, the shooting was not the result of the defendant's willfulness or wantonness,]<sup>3</sup>

#### Use Note

- 1. Use when instructing on the crime as a lesser offense.
- 2. Give the definition of negligence, CJI2d 11.21.
- 3. Use when instructing on the crime as a lesser offense.

#### History

CJI2d 11.20 was CJI 11:3:01.

#### Commentary

See MCLA 752.861, MSA 28.436(21) in "Statutes" at the end of this chapter.

See discussion of definitions in commentary to CJI2d 11.21, 11.22, and 11.23.

MCL 780,766 MCR 6.427

Deputy court clerky

(SEAL)

#### **STATE OF MICHIGAN**

#### IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

PEOPLE OF	THE STATE
OF MICHIGA	AN,

ν

Plaintiff/Appellee,

Court of Appeals No: 298888

Lower Court No: 05-009189 FC

ORONDE KENYATTE THOMPSON,

Defendant/Appellant.

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

**STATE OF MICHIGAN)** 

)ss

**COUNTY OF WAYNE)** 

I, Gerald Ferry, hereby certify that I served one copy of Defendant/Appellant's Standard

In Pro Per Brief on Appeal and Proof of Service upon:

Kym Worthy Wayne County Prosecutor 1441 St. Antoine - 12th Floor Detroit, MI 48226 Oronde Kenyatte Thompson

Inmate No: 601455

Central Michigan Correctional Facility

320 North Hubbard St. Louis, MI 48880

by placing same in a 1st class stamped envelope and depositing same in a US Postal Box on

9-16-11

GERALD FERRY (P44630

Attorney for Defendant/Appellant

Dated: 9-16-11